

Request for Reconsideration after Final Action

The table below presents the data as entered.

Input Field	Entered
SERIAL NUMBER	85760873
LAW OFFICE ASSIGNED	LAW OFFICE 112
MARK SECTION (no change)	
EVIDENCE SECTION	
EVIDENCE FILE NAME(S)	
ORIGINAL PDF FILE	evi_96229225254-101736287_.ST FOR RECONSIDER SMART BROWSER 85760873 Final OA_0
CONVERTED PDF FILE(S) (2 pages)	\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\857\608\85760873\xml8\RFR0002.JPG
	\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\857\608\85760873\xml8\RFR0003.JPG
SIGNATURE SECTION	
RESPONSE SIGNATURE	/Tara Chand/
SIGNATORY'S NAME	Tara Chand
SIGNATORY'S POSITION	President
SIGNATORY'S PHONE NUMBER	310 787 1400
DATE SIGNED	12/26/2013
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY	YES
CONCURRENT APPEAL NOTICE FILED	YES
FILING INFORMATION SECTION	
SUBMIT DATE	Thu Dec 26 10:21:30 EST 2013

TEAS STAMP	USPTO/RFR-96.229.225.254- 20131226102130802190-8576 0873-50052d13d7f7118d6479 763664ed144b277807429caal 93179ba9478facaf867-N/A-N /A-20131226101736287113
-------------------	--

PTO Form 1930 (Rev 9/2007)
OMB No. 0651-0050 (Exp. 05/31/2014)

Request for Reconsideration after Final Action To the Commissioner for Trademarks:

Application serial no. **85760873** has been amended as follows:

EVIDENCE

Original PDF file:

[evi_96229225254-101736287_.ST_FOR_RECONSIDER_SMART_BROWSER_85760873_Final_OA_06_29_2013.pdf](#)

Converted PDF file(s) (2 pages)

[Evidence-1](#)

[Evidence-2](#)

SIGNATURE(S)

Request for Reconsideration Signature

Signature: /Tara Chand/ Date: 12/26/2013

Signatory's Name: Tara Chand

Signatory's Position: President

Signatory's Phone Number: 310 787 1400

The signatory has confirmed that he/she is not represented by either an authorized attorney or Canadian attorney/agent, and that he/she is either (1) the applicant or (2) a person(s) with legal authority to bind the applicant; and if an authorized U.S. attorney or Canadian attorney/agent previously represented him/her in this matter, either he/she has filed a signed revocation of power of attorney with the USPTO or the USPTO has granted the request of his/her prior representative to withdraw.

The applicant is filing a Notice of Appeal in conjunction with this Request for Reconsideration.

Serial Number: 85760873

Internet Transmission Date: Thu Dec 26 10:21:30 EST 2013

TEAS Stamp: USPTO/RFR-96.229.225.254-201312261021308
02190-85760873-50052d13d7f7118d647976366

4ed144b277807429caa193179ba9478facaf867-
N/A-N/A-20131226101736287113

TRADEMARK

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial Number: 85760873

Applicant: Internet Promise Group ® LLC (chand@Internetpromise.com)

Mark: SMART BROWSER

Attorney Docket: N/A

Correspondent Address: Tara Chand

Internet Promise Group® LLC

2390 Crenshaw Blvd. Ste 239, Torrance, CA 90501-3300

Office Action Date: 06/29/2013

Trademark Examining Attorney:

Ronald E. Aikens, Law Office 112
(571) 272-9268
Ron-aikens@uspto.gov

REQUEST TO RECONSIDER AND
RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 06/29/2013

The Commissioner for Trademarks

P. O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

The response is timely filed as being filed within six months of the Final OA letter date of 06/29/2013 that is on or before 12/29/2013. Applicant files the following response to each of the issues in the final office action letter dated 06/29/2013.

In the Final rejection OA dated 06/29/2013, Examiner has stated as a basis of rejection:

The mark is “Merely Descriptive” under Section 2(e) (1)

The Applicant second time amends the identification of the goods in support of that reconsideration and amended identification of the goods is believed is an accurate identification of the goods.

The second amended proposed identification of goods

Applicant second time amends the identification of the goods as follows:

Computer software application for use in computing and communication devices that reformats a received web page content into the device to remove and/or reposition advertising content and reformats the webpage content for viewing on limited size screens.

Supporting Arguments:

Applicant believes this second amended description of goods, as above, defines the goods in question as the computer software application is directed to facilitating more versatile display of webpage content on limited size screen devices when interacting with such limited size screen devices.

With due respect, with the amended identification of the goods, the mark cannot be construed as “merely descriptive as the mark does not convey an ingredient, quality, characteristic, function, feature, purpose, or use of an applicant’s goods and/or services and therefore is not merely descriptive.

Signed/Date: 12/26/2013

//Tara Chand//

President

Internet Promise Group® LLC

2390 Crenshaw Blvd. Ste 239, Torrance, CA 90501-3300,

310 787 1400